• •	Coordinates of the project partners (coordinator and other promotors). Only the names of the principle investigators/RD leads.
PROJECT SUMMARY	[max. 2 pages] Briefly describe: - The context and motivation of the project - Expected results and how these will impact the BDTIB and NGCAT - Brief explanation of how the project will be carried out
IN/OUT OF SCOPE	[1/2 page]

Explain how the project:

the information document)





Next Generation Combat Aircraft Technologies - Research and Development - Call for proposals 2024 - answers to the research and development priorities of the call (cfr. Section 2.3. of **EVALUATION MATRIX - FULL PROPOSALS**

			Insufficient information	Deficient	Weak	Reasonable	Good	Excellent
. PROJECT OBJECTIVES			Given the lack of information, this	· · ·	The research and develoment	The research and development	The research and development	The research and development
	Explain the scope of the project and break it down in research and development	Are the project objectives clear and	criterion cannot be evaluated	objectives are unclear AND	objectives are badly defined OR do	objectives are mostly clear and	objectives are clear and align with	objectives are fully and
	objectives, making sure that those are SMART (Specific; Measurable; Assignable;	coherent?		contradictory	not align with each other	sufficiently aligned	each other and they are SMART	exceptionnally well described
	Realistic; Time-related) defined	Are the project objectives SMART					defined	an outstanding alignment and
		defined?						are perfectly SMART defined
STATE OF THE ART AND	[1 page]	2.1. Knowledge of the state of the	Given the lack of information, this	The proposal has overlooked the	The proposal has important flaws	The proposal demonstrates an	The proposal shows a good view of	The proposal shows an exhaust
INOVATIVE CHARACTER	- Explain the state of current knowledge at national and international level on your	art.	criterion cannot be evaluated	essential scientific state of the art in	regarding the state of the art.	average knowledge of the state of	the state of the art in the domain,	knowledge of the state of the
	topic. Include a list of max. 5 relevant existing publications, projects, references	Does the proposal provide an		the domain.		the art in the domain, without	omissions are superfluous or minimal.	the domain.
	and/or (inter)national networks per project partner to support this.	accurate overview of the state of				critical omissions.		
	- Provide an overview of present knowledge or knowledge to be acquired wihtin the	the art?						
	project team							
	- Provide an overview of the development of new expertise and competences (new							
	techniques, knowledge, way of working) in Belgium							
	- Describe the opportunities for (new) national and/or international collaborations.							
	[1 #200]	2.2. Position of the project with	Given the lack of information, this	The objectives of the project fail to	The proposal displays limited added	The proposal displays some added	The proposal displays good potential	The proposal is highly innovativ
	[1 page] The study should allow to solve a problem that has not yet been researched or to		criterion cannot be evaluated	address the gap in research or	value to the state of the art.	value to the state of the art but	for innovation and displays significant	
	solve a problem using a methodology that has not yet been used. It may also be the	(innovativeness)		falsely identifies a research gap.		does not have a pronounced	added value relative to the state-of-	potential for progress beyond
	continuation of an innovative study which has produced concrete results but which	How is the project positioned in		laisely identifies a rescarch gapt		innovative character.	the-art.	on-going research efforts.
	need to be followed up.	relation to the state of the art?						
	Position your project with regards to the state of the art and explain why your							
	proposal is original and innovative:							
	- in terms of exploring a gap in (inter)national research knowledge							
	- in terms of exploring new methodologies							
	Under no circumstances may it duplicate a research study carried out in another							
	regional / federal / international framework (international : e.g. NATO, EDA, EDF). It							
	may, however, contribute to a larger project within that other framework.							
3. RELEVANCE AND POTENTIAL	[1/2 page]	3.1. Potential impact of the	Given the lack of information, this	The proposal fails to address the	The proposal fails to address the	The proposal addresses the	The proposal rightly describes the	The proposal outstandingly
	Explain the relevance and potential impact of the project (its methodologies,	proposal in light of the expected	criterion cannot be evaluated	project's impact and its significance			project's impact and its significance	describes the project's impact a
	processes, technologies, developments, outcomes, insights,) for NGCAT, in relation			for the BDTIB and NGCAT	the BDTIB and NGCAT	significance for the BDTIB and	for the BDTIB and NGCAT	its significance for for the BDTII
	to the expected impact for the themes.	Assess the relevance, potential				NGCAT		NGCAT
	Explain how the project contributes to NGCAT objectives in terms of:	impact and contributions of the						
	knowledge generation	project for the NGCAT objectives as						
	• capability	described in the proposal						
	manufacturability							
	cost reduction							
	• maintainability	2.2. Diana ta manimiza di stato	Ciucon the lack of information of the	The property ofference in the	The property suffrage shot of	The velocitation place is the	The velocitation plane are all	The uplexication also see f. "
		3.2. Plans to maximise the impact			The proposal outlines valorization	The valorisation plans are	The valorisation plans are well	The valorisation plans are fully
	Plans to maximise the impact of the project: - Explain the concrete plans of valorisation and exploitation of the project results to		criterion cannot be evaluated	strategy for valorising its results	strategies which contain significant gaps or shortcomings. No efforts are		described and offer considerable variety, using original communication	described and offer an original
	the BDTIB in accordance with the WP valorisation. The target groups of these				made to promote and distribute	publication. The appropriate	tools and approaches leading to a	targets and generate high inte
	valorisation proposals must be explicitly described.	results and knowledge and enabling			results	communication tools and	good transfer and/or utilization of	about its results. There is a link
		publication and exploitation of data;				approaches are used, but activities	results	another regional / federal /
	- Are there possible follow-on projects for this proposal, either going deeper or with a					are somewhat limited in terms of		international project, or ambit
	broader scope?	audiences, the appropriateness of				approaching different targets		for follow-on (deeper or broad
		communication tools and						projects based on its findings
		approaches,						
		3.3. Data management plan and	,	The proposal has no plan to make	The data management plan	The data management plan follows	0 1	There is an excellent data
	The proposal must clearly indicate what data the project will generate, when and in		criterion cannot be evaluated	the data available after the research		basic standards in making the	good standards, making the data	management plan in line with
				is finalised	or gaps	generated data available	easily available	highest standards to enable ea
	what format the data will be made accessible and how it will be curated and				0.1.	8	,	-
	preserved, specifying which categories of users are likely to benefit from access to the	Assess the quality of the data				8		use of the data
		Assess the quality of the data management plan and the						-
	preserved, specifying which categories of users are likely to benefit from access to the	Assess the quality of the data				0		-







			Insufficient information	Deficient	Weak	Reasonable	Good	Excellent
4. QUALITY OF THE	[Part 1 of 3 - 2 pages per partner]	4.1. [Research institutes (public or	Given the lack of information, this		The partner(s) cannot be considered	The partner(s) possess(es) enough	The partner(s) is an/are	The partner(s) is/are well
PARTNER(S)/PARTNERSHIP	[Research institutes (public or private non-profit)] Provide a description of expertise and skills for each partner:	private non-profit)] Individual	criterion cannot be evaluated	the experience and expertise to	als (a) reliable and promissing	experience and expertise to	acknowledged expert(s) in their fields,	1 1
		quality of the partner(s)		perform the proposed research	partner(s) for the project due to	perform the research in a suitable	who can perform the research	and can be considered to be highly
	- Their professional background - Maximum 5 top publications relevant for the proposal (indicate clearly the	Assess the quality of the individual partners within the frame of the			insufficient research experience or expertise to contribute in a suitable	way	competently	reliable, competent and fit perfectly for this project
	international peer reviewed publications)	project. Competence regarding			way			for this project
		project management and			way			
	call or related areas (specify the duration of the work and funding source).	coordination of work packages						
	- A list of their (inter)national contacts and the (inter)national networks to which they							
	belong within the context of the proposal.	including management, synthesis						
	- The scientific quality, management, synthesis and communication skills of the	and communication skills of the						
	coordinator.	coordinator.						
	- If possible, include web links for all the information above.							
	[Part 2 of 3 - 2 pages per partner]	4.2. [Private companies] Individual	Given the lack of information, this	The partner(s) do(es) not possess	The partner(s) cannot be considered	The partner(s) possess(es) enough	The partner(s) is an/are	The partner(s) is/are well
	[Private companies] Provide a description of expertise and skills for each partner:	quality of the partner(s)	criterion cannot be evaluated	the required experience or	als (a) reliable and promissing	experience and expertise to	acknowledged expert(s) in their fields,	1 1
	- Their active production / research activities in Belgium	Assess the quality of the individual		expertise to perform the project	partner(s) for the project due to	perform the project tasks in a	who can perform the project tasks	and can be considered to be highly
	- A list of the products / prototypes / research projects they actively contributed to	partners within the frame of the		tasks	insufficient experience or expertise	suitable way.	competently	reliable, competent and fit perfectly
	over the past five years in the topic of the call or related areas.	project. Competence regarding			to contribute in a suitable way			for this project
	- A list of their (inter)national contacts and the (inter)national networks to which they							
	belong within the context of the proposal.	coordination of work packages						
	 A list of their Defence customers The management, synthesis and communication skills of the coordinator. 	should be taken into account, including management, synthesis						
	- If possible, include web links for all the information above.	and communication skills of the						
	- Il possible, include web links for all the information above.	coordinator.						
				The second second is for the two solutions of the		The sector shirts in a fifth of the		The second second is in second second
	[Part 3 of 3 - 1 page] Articulate the retionale for choosing this partnership in addressing the tenis of the	4.3. Adequacy and added value of		The partnership fails to address the		The partnership is sufficiently	The partnership is well balanced in	The partnership is perfectly
	Articulate the rationale for choosing this partnership in addressing the topic of the	the proposed partnership in	criterion cannot be evaluated	different network dimensions (like	account essential network	balanced in terms of the different	terms of the different dimensions	balanced in terms of all the
	proposal. The different dimensions of the added value in a partnership can be seen	addressing the topic		balanced partnership,	dimensions (like balanced	dimensions (including balanced	(including balanced partnership,	different dimensions (including
	as (non-exhaustive list): - Well-balanced partnership	Assess the adequacy of the partnership as reasoned by the		complementarity of expertise and way of working, long term	partnership, complementarity of expertise and way of working, long	partnership, complementarity of	complementarity of expertise and	balanced partnership,
	- Complementarity of expertise among partners	applicants in relation to the project		perspective on collaboration),	term perspective on collaboration),	expertise and way of working, long term perspective on collaboration,	way of working, long term perspective on collaboration, added value of the	way of working, long term
	- Complementarity of disciplines and way of working (multi, inter) to properly cover	objectives		hindering the realisation of the	hindering the realisation of the	added value of the in-kind	in-kind contribution), bringing an	perspective on collaboration, added
	the project objectives	objectives		project	project		added value to the proposal	value of the in-kind contribution),
	- Long term perspective on collaboration: can this project be the starting point for a			project	project	feasible	added value to the proposal	bringing a high added value to the
	broadened or intensified collaboration?							proposal
								P - P
5. COHERENCE BETWEEN	[5 pages]	5.1. Methodology	Given the lack of information, this	The methodology and use of data	The methodology and use of data	The methodology and use of data	The methodology and use of data are	The methodology and use of data
RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND	Methodology	Assess the chosen methodology	criterion cannot be evaluated	are unclear or inappropriate.	have shortcomings and/or lacks	are sufficient. The objectives,	elaborate, well matched to the	are outstanding and it ensures a
METHODOLOGY	Translate your research objectives into a methodology (used methods, techniques,	(taking into account the different			details.	methodology and expected	objectives and expected outcomes.	perfect match to the objectives and
	systems and/or way of working) in order to achieve the results:	disciplines mobilised), use of data,				outcomes form a coherent and	There is room for minor improvement	1 1
	- the division of the project into phases	the articulation of the objectives-				reasonable unit, but contain some		room for improvement
	- the organisation of the project team	methodology-expected outcomes.				gaps or shortcomings		
	- the technologies used							
	 detail the results your approach will enable to gather (expected outcomes): 							
	- take possible ethical issues into account if relevant							
6. ADEQUACY OF THE WORK	[1/2 page per WP]	6.1. Relation of the work packages			The work plan raises doubts on the	The work plan sufficiently enables	The work plan correctly enables to	The work plan outstandingly
PLAN AND EFFICIENCY	Please provide a description of the project in terms of work packages, tasks, and		criterion cannot be evaluated	clear work plan, hampering the	successful implementation of several		apprehend the objectives of the	enables to apprehend all the
1	deliverables in accordance with the GANTT chart.	Notwithstanding work intensity and		realization of the project	aspects of the project	project, leaving room for	project leaving some room for	objectives of the project with
	Refer to:	duration of tasks and WP, assess the					improvement (minor shortcomings	neither redundancies nor
	- Number and title of Work Package, Work Package leader (financed, non-financed)	way the breakdown of the work				redundancies are present)	and/or redundancies are present)	shortcomings
	- Number, title and timing of tasks, task leader, participants to the task (financed, nor							
	financed, subcontractors)	enables the realisation of the						
1	- Timing of deliverables	project.						
	- Number of person-months for each task							
	- Means, tools, procedures, techniques to carry out the tasks							
	Notes:							
	Notes: - The work plan must be detailed to the level of work packages (WP) and tasks							
	(Tasks). The definition of subtasks is not possible.							
	- Work packages or tasks necessary for the implementation of the project but not							
	financed by Defence must also be described and added to the GANTT chart.							
	Compulsory work packages:							
	Coordination, project management and reporting							
	Data management							
	Valorisation / Exploitation							
The second s					1	1	1	

	1			1			
1		Insufficient information	Deficient	Weak	Reasonable	Good	Excellent
				The work planning is not sufficiently		The work planning is elaborated in a	The work planning is elaborated in
	(*consult the GANTT chart, filled out	criterion cannot be evaluated	appropriately run the project		a reasonable way, but contains	well-thought manner, allowing for	an efficient and cost effective way
Complete the GANTT chart in accordance with the description of the detailed work	by the applicants) Is the work			Structural improvements are needed	some gaps or shortcomings and	minor improvements regarding	clearly focused on reaching a high
plan, tasks and deliverables above:	planning (time schedule, duration				leaves room for improvement	efficiency, integration and synergy	level of integration and synergy
- Work intensity of each partner within each task (expressed in person-month [PM])	and person-power effort per task)					within the tasks	within the tasks
- Include for each partner the person-months funded by the project and the person-	appropriate and feasible to run the						
	project? (horizontal lecture of the						
	GANTT chart, not going into detail						
	for each partner, with						
	recommendations regarding the						
- 1 Person-month [PM] = 1 full-time equivalent [FTE] or 2 half-time equivalents over 1							
	activities within the calendar).						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
- Other sources of financing may include: salary payment by institutions other than	(
Defence and/or via other projects, voluntary contributions If a given task requires 7	(
person-months, and 6 months will be financed by the project, the 7th month must	(
appear under 'other sources of financing'.	(
	(
Compulsory work packages:	(
 Coordination, project management and reporting 							
Data management	(
Valorisation / Exploitation	(
	(
GANTT chart	6.3. Workload intensity in relation	Given the lack of information, this	There is an inacceptable	The work repartition among partners	There is a reasonable work	There is a more than appropriate	All partners have very fine-tuned,
Workload intensity in relation to the work packages	to the work packages	criterion cannot be evaluated	discrepancy between the workload	is not sufficiently justified by the	repartition among the partners; the	work repartition among the partners;	pertinent and cost-effective work
Based on the GANTT chart, provide an overall assessment of the requested level of	([®] consult the GANTT chart, filled		and the investment (person-power)	tasks; the requested level of person-	requested level of person-power	the requested level of person-power	efforts throughout work package
person-power of each partner throughout the work packages and tasks (Vertical			of the partners	power calls for major adjustments	calls for some adjustments	may call for minor adjustments	and tasks
lecture of the GANTT chart, with recommendations regarding the intensity of their							
	level of person-power of each						
,							
	partner throughout the work						
	packages and tasks (Vertical lecture						
	of the GANTT chart, with						
	recommendations regarding the						
	intensity of their activities and						
	pertinence of participation in them).						
	(
Risk assessment;	6.4. Risk assessment of the project	Given the lack of information, this	The proposal does not provide a	Important risks are overlooked	Adequate assessment of the major	Exhaustive assessment of the risks	Outstanding assessment of the ris
Risk assessment; Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the			The proposal does not provide a clear view of possible major risks	Important risks are overlooked and/or contingency plans are not	Adequate assessment of the major risks and reasonable contingency	Exhaustive assessment of the risks and good preventive contingency	-
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the	How well are the risks evaluated by		clear view of possible major risks	and/or contingency plans are not			-
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an				risks and reasonable contingency	and good preventive contingency	and excellent preventive outline
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form.	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed?		clear view of possible major risks	and/or contingency plans are not	risks and reasonable contingency	and good preventive contingency	and excellent preventive outline
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed?		clear view of possible major risks	and/or contingency plans are not	risks and reasonable contingency	and good preventive contingency	and excellent preventive outline
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed?		clear view of possible major risks	and/or contingency plans are not	risks and reasonable contingency	and good preventive contingency	and excellent preventive outline
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed?		clear view of possible major risks	and/or contingency plans are not	risks and reasonable contingency	and good preventive contingency	and excellent preventive outline
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed?		clear view of possible major risks	and/or contingency plans are not	risks and reasonable contingency	and good preventive contingency	and excellent preventive outline
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe".	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed?	criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic	risks and reasonable contingency plans	and good preventive contingency plans	and excellent preventive outline solutions and alternatives
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". Detailed budget	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the	and excellent preventive outline solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well-
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". <u>Detailed budget</u> FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost	criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project,	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving	and excellent preventive outline solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfect
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". <u>Detailed budget</u> FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more detailed explanation regarding the budget rules: see information document (section	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost template, filled out by the	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments;	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is	and excellent preventive outline solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfect estimates all the needs of the
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". Detailed budget FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more detailed explanation regarding the budget rules: see information document (section 2.7 and 2.8.). Please provide the following budget information:	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost template, filled out by the applicants),	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not in line with its objectives and/or	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not well aligned with its objectives	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments; it is adequately aligned with the	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is very well aligned with the objectives	and excellent preventive outline solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfect estimates all the needs of the project and takes into account th
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". Detailed budget FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more detailed explanation regarding the budget rules: see information document (section 2.7 and 2.8.). Please provide the following budget information: - Staff costs	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost template, filled out by the applicants), Is the budget realistic, well-balanced	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments; it is adequately aligned with the objectives and expected outcomes	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is	and excellent preventive outline solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfec estimates all the needs of the project and takes into account th post-project. It perfectly aligns w
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". Detailed budget FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more detailed explanation regarding the budget rules: see information document (section 2.7 and 2.8.). Please provide the following budget information: - Staff costs - General operating costs	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost template, filled out by the applicants), Is the budget realistic, well-balanced among partners (if applicable), and	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not in line with its objectives and/or	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not well aligned with its objectives	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments; it is adequately aligned with the	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is very well aligned with the objectives	and excellent preventive outline solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfect estimates all the needs of the project and takes into account th post-project. It perfectly aligns w the objectives and expected
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". Detailed budget FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more detailed explanation regarding the budget rules: see information document (section 2.7 and 2.8.). Please provide the following budget information: - Staff costs - General operating costs - Specific operating costs	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost template, filled out by the applicants), Is the budget realistic, well-balanced among partners (if applicable), and in line with the objectives and	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not in line with its objectives and/or	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not well aligned with its objectives	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments; it is adequately aligned with the objectives and expected outcomes	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is very well aligned with the objectives	and excellent preventive outline solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfect estimates all the needs of the project and takes into account th post-project. It perfectly aligns wi
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". Detailed budget FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more detailed explanation regarding the budget rules: see information document (section 2.7 and 2.8.). Please provide the following budget information: - Staff costs - General operating costs - Specific operating costs	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost template, filled out by the applicants), Is the budget realistic, well-balanced among partners (if applicable), and	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not in line with its objectives and/or	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not well aligned with its objectives	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments; it is adequately aligned with the objectives and expected outcomes	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is very well aligned with the objectives	and excellent preventive outline of solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfect estimates all the needs of the project and takes into account the post-project. It perfectly aligns wi the objectives and expected
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". Detailed budget FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more detailed explanation regarding the budget rules: see information document (section 2.7 and 2.8.). Please provide the following budget information: - Staff costs - General operating costs - Specific operating costs	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost template, filled out by the applicants), Is the budget realistic, well-balanced among partners (if applicable), and in line with the objectives and	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not in line with its objectives and/or	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not well aligned with its objectives	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments; it is adequately aligned with the objectives and expected outcomes	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is very well aligned with the objectives	and excellent preventive outline of solutions and alternatives The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfect estimates all the needs of the project and takes into account the post-project. It perfectly aligns wi the objectives and expected
Number, identify and explain the main incurring risks that could delay or hinder the project and the contingency plans / mitigating measures foreseen to deal with them using the risk management form. Locate the number of each risk in terms of its likelihood of occurrence and impact on the project Detailed contingency measures need to be provided for each risk of category "medium high" and "severe". Detailed budget FULL PROPOSAL COST TEMPLATE - The information document contains a more detailed explanation regarding the budget rules: see information document (section 2.7 and 2.8.). Please provide the following budget information: - Staff costs - General operating costs - Specific operating costs - Overheads	How well are the risks evaluated by the applicants? Do they provide an adequate 'fall-back' plan, if needed? 6.5. Budget assessment (*consult the Full proposal cost template, filled out by the applicants), Is the budget realistic, well-balanced among partners (if applicable), and in line with the objectives and	criterion cannot be evaluated Given the lack of information, this criterion cannot be evaluated	clear view of possible major risks and/or feasible contingency plans The budget severely overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not in line with its objectives and/or	and/or contingency plans are not sufficiently realistic The budget partially overestimates or underestimates fundamental needs of the project, and/or is not well aligned with its objectives	risks and reasonable contingency plans The budget correctly estimates the fundamental needs of the project, leaving some room for adjustments; it is adequately aligned with the objectives and expected outcomes	and good preventive contingency plans The budget correctly estimates all the needs of the project, only leaving room for very minor adjustments; it is very well aligned with the objectives	The budget is extremely well- thought and optimized. It perfectl estimates all the needs of the project and takes into account the post-project. It perfectly aligns wit the objectives and expected

i.			
	WEIGHT OF THE DIFFERENT	ALL THEMES	criteria ranges involved
	CRITERIA		(individual weights TBD)
	Quality of the proposal and efficiency of the implementation	50%	 * project objectives * knowledge of SOA & innovative character * coherence between research objectives and methodology * adequacy of the work plan & efficiency
	Quality and experience of the network	25%	 relevance & potential impact for the BDTIB and NGCAT -> Data Mgt Plan quality of the partner(s)/partnership
	Impact	25%	* relevance & potential impact for the BDTIB and NGCAT (all but Data Mgt Plan)