The Optimal Taxation of Capital Income

Insights from Economic Theory

Kevin Spiritus Erasmus University Rotterdam, KU Leuven

Presentation at the CRESUS Final conference 13 November 2019

How We Study Optimal Taxation



"This is too difficult for a mathematician. It takes a philosopher."

Albert Einstein, quoted in Time Magazine on February 1, 1963. Confirmed in a letter by Einstein's friend and tax advisor Leo Mattersdorf on February 22, 1963.

Principles of Optimal Taxation

Small government? ("night-watch" state) Big government? (social democracy) →Political choice

Take government revenue as given

Principles of Optimal Taxation

Observed preferences for redistribution:

- Rich countries tax progressively
- Right-wing flat tax: correction at the bottom

Vertical Equity

- Tax liability should depend on ability to contribute
- How important? \rightarrow Political choice

Efficiency Costs of Taxation: Labor Income Tax

Example: market wage without tax 9 €

Tax labor income 30%

- Employer pays wage $10 \in \rightarrow$ hires less people
- Employee receives wage $7 \in \rightarrow$ less willing to work
- \rightarrow Unrealized mutually beneficial economic transactions

Efficiency Costs of Taxation: Capital Income Tax

Example: market interest rate without tax 1,8%

Tax capital income: example 30%

- Borrowers pay 2,0% (plus risk premium) \rightarrow invest less
- Savers receive 1,4% \rightarrow save less

 \rightarrow Unrealized mutually beneficial economic transactions

Social value of lost transactions: "excess burden"

Optimal Tax on Labor Income

Higher tax on labor income if:

- Care more about redistribution
- More unequal market incomes Lower tax on labor income if:
- Stronger responses to taxation

Same goes for capital income, if you want to tax it



Balance redistribution against efficiency

Maximize Revenue From the Rich



When tax rate too high:

- Rich work less \rightarrow Less revenue
- Less distribution to the poor
- Everybody is worse off

Maximum top tax rate Belgium: 60%-75%

could be higher with avoidance-proof tax code

Should We Tax Capital Income?

Study tax system as a whole

• Do not study capital income tax in isolation

How to structure the tax mix:

- collect given tax revenue
- reaching desired redistribution
- at minimal economic cost?



Should We Tax Capital Income?



Should We Tax Capital Income? Atkinson and Stiglitz (1976) theorem



- Assumptions of Atkinson-Stiglitz theorem are too strong
- Yet clear evidence: do not tax labor income and capital income equally

Conclusion 1: No comprehensive income tax

When should we tax capital income?

Very strong assumptions:

- No inheritance
- Equal disposable income \rightarrow equal wealth
- Equal wealth \rightarrow equal capital income
- No "luck"
- Perfect markets



Reasons to introduce capital income tax:

- 1. Remove existing distortions in the economy (=increase efficiency)
- 2. Redistribute in ways that cannot be achieved using labor income tax

- 1. Many individuals cannot borrow to bridge difficult periods
 - \rightarrow too much "precautionary" savings from social perspective
 - \rightarrow capital income tax decreases this distortion
 - \rightarrow redistribute towards those who need it
- 2. No insurance policies against bad investments / unemployment
 - \rightarrow information problem: bad luck or bad effort?
 - \rightarrow social security is limited for the same reason
 - \rightarrow again: precautionary saving
 - \rightarrow capital income tax decreases this distortion
 - \rightarrow redistribute towards those who need it
- 3. Labor income tax discourages education/training/career \rightarrow individuals rather save to increase future consumption
 - \rightarrow shift tax burden to capital income
- 4. Wealthy individuals work less/retire earlier
 → shift tax burden to capital income to reduce distortion by labor tax

- 5. Scale advantages in wealth management Part of CRESUS project: *Gerritsen, Jacobs, Rusu and Spiritus (2019)*
 - \rightarrow wealthier individuals obtain higher rates of return
 - \rightarrow would like to borrow money from the poor and invest at higher return
 - \rightarrow not realized due to market frictions
 - \rightarrow lower tax on labor income, tax capital income later in life
 - \rightarrow government "saves on behalf of the poor", realize returns to scale
 - \rightarrow optimal tax: ~45%

6. Not all capital income is "deserved"

- \rightarrow "deserved" capital rewards patience or risk taking at market prices
- → *"economic rents"* are underserved incomes
- \rightarrow e.g. housing prices increase when city builds a park nearby
- \rightarrow e.g. increasing importance of market power

Increasing Market Power

Markups (price above cost of production) have strongly increased:



Source: De Loecker and Eeckhout (2017)

Increasing Market Power

Profits have strongly increased:

Figure 3: The Profit Share of Gross Value Added

The figure shows the profit share of gross value added for the U.S. non-financial corporate sector over the period 1984–2014. Profits are defined as gross operating surplus less total capital payments ($\Pi = P^Y Y - wL - RP^K K$ – taxes on production and imports less subsidies). Capital payments are the product of the required rate of return on capital and the value of the capital stock. The required rate of return on capital is calculated as $R = (i - \mathbb{E}[\pi] + \delta)$. Capital includes both physical capital and intangible capital. The cost of borrowing is set to Moody's Aaa bond yield and expected inflation is calculated as a three-year moving average. See Section 2 for further details.



- 6. Not all capital income is "deserved"
 - \rightarrow "deserved" capital rewards patience or risk taking at market prices
 - → "economic rents" are underserve incomes
 - \rightarrow e.g. housing prices increase when city builds a park nearby
 - \rightarrow e.g. increasing importance of market power
 - \rightarrow given that no effort done for these incomes: tax them at no cost!
- 7. If we tax wealth rather than capital income:
 - \rightarrow redistribute *unproductive* wealth

Tackle distortions where you can

- 8. Labor incomes can be disguised as capital incomes → set up a corporation, receive dividends rather than salary
 - \rightarrow tax capital income to maintain integrity of the tax system

Conclusion 2: Careful with dual income tax

 \rightarrow Make sure capital income tax is not too much lower than labor income tax

Distributional Reasons to Tax Capital Income

Some individuals are more able investors
 Part of CRESUS project: Gerritsen, Jacobs, Rusu and Spiritus (2019)
 → differences in financial literacy (expensive credit card loans, suboptimal portfolio choices, stock picking, ...)
 → CEO's make a difference (e.g. in family business)
 → strong empirical evidence

Different rates of return

Figure 9. The distribution of estimated return fixed effects



Notes: The figure shows the histogram of the estimated fixed effects in the wealth return regression using estimates in Table 2, column 3. Values above the 99.5 percentile have been grouped in a single category and also value below the 0.5 percentile. Figures are in percent.

Source: Fagereng et al. (2016)

Different rates of return



Distributional Reasons to Tax Capital Income

- 2. Some individuals are more lucky than others
 - \rightarrow important when shares not publicly traded
 - (importance of family businesses)
 - \rightarrow capital income tax redistributes from the lucky to the unlucky
 - \rightarrow if done correctly, this does not discourage investment (see later)
- 3. More able individuals save more and receive higher inheritance
 → use this information to redistribute using capital income tax
 → allows reducing labor income tax
- 4. Labor income and capital income not perfectly correlated Part of CRESUS project: Lehmann, Renes, Spiritus, Zoutman (2019) see also presentations of Sarah Kuypers and Sarah Marchal → wealth contains additional information about ability to contribute
 - \rightarrow redistribute more effectively
 - \rightarrow work in progress

Reasons to Tax Capital Income

- <u>Credit & insurance market failure</u>
- Labor income tax discourages human capital investment
- Wealthy individuals work less/retire earlier
- Scale effects in wealth management
- Economic <u>rents</u>
- Labor income disguised as capital income
- Heterogeneous rates of return
- <u>Luck</u>
- More able individuals save more
- More <u>able individuals</u> receive <u>higher inheritance</u>
- Capital and labor income <u>not perfectly correlated</u>

Kleven (2014): Scandinavian countries combine high tax rates with strong economic outcomes

Lessons:

- Reduce tax distortions through tax-benefit system (e.g. subsidize childcare and transportation to work, tax capital income)
- Have a broad tax base \rightarrow less tax avoidance
- Information trails \rightarrow less tax evasion

Conclusion 3a: treat all assets equally

- easy to convert assets using financial instruments e.g. exception for registered securities (*effecten op naam*) really is a bad idea
- exceptions divert resources from other investments
 e.g. housing subsidy: people live in larger houses
 → housing market bubbles, impairs financial stability
- exceptions often redistribute income to the rich
 → tax system less effective, high tax on labor income
 e.g. housing subsidy benefits the rich
- same tax treatment for bank deposits, shares in privately owned or publicly traded business, bonds, housing wealth, ...

Conclusion 3b: treat all capital incomes equally

- Easy to create financial instruments that convert one type into another
 → no reason to treat capital gains, liquidation, ... differently
- Not doing so again distorts investment decisions
- Include housing rents and imputed rents
- Subtract capital costs (e.g. interests paid, ...)
- Subtract corporate income taxes paid

 reduces incentive to move to low-tax jurisdictions

• Constituents of capital income



• Constituents of capital income

Problem: Cannot distinguish economic rents from risk

Excess capital income

Risk-free capital income

• Rate of Return Allowance (Mirrlees Review 2011):



Conclusion 4: introduce a wealth register

• Rate of Return Allowance (Mirrlees Review 2011):





Part of CRESUS project: *Boadway and Spiritus (2019)* Optimal RRA balances private risk against uncertainty of government revenue **RRA diminishes impact of recessions**

• How about income shifting?

Tax payer starts a one-man company to avoid taxes



• How about income shifting?

RRA reduces incentive to avoid taxes through paper companies

Government applies the RRA



When to pay taxes?

- Liquid assets: immediately (at end of fiscal year)
- Illiquid assets: postpone payment until realization of capital gains
 - Market value often does not exist
 - Not easy to sell quickly \rightarrow immediate liability could lead to problems

Won't people postpone realization of capital gains forever?

Conclusion 5: levy interests on postponed

tax payments

 \rightarrow If unknown timing, assume linear accrual

Recommendations for Taxation of Capital Income

- 1. No comprehensive income tax
- 2. Careful with dual income tax (low tax rate on capital income leads to paper companies)
- 3. Treat all assets and capital incomes equally (tax capital gains! and abolish housing subsidies)
- 4. Have a wealth register
- 5. Levy interests on postponed tax payments

And 6. If you are convinced, go for a Rate of Return Allowance

Thank you for your attention!