

## ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR EVALUATORS

This document contains the eligibility criteria for Policy4Science experts taking part in the written (individual) evaluation and the panel interview evaluation phases of the procedure.

Policy4Science proposals will be evaluated by **3 experts based and working full time abroad**, selected by BELSPO on the basis of the content of the Expressions of Interest submitted by the applicants.

In order for the scientific experts to remain impartial and completely independent in their judgement, it is important they are able to work anonymously. The identity of the scientific experts is therefore not disclosed.

### ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

The experts must meet the following criteria:

- Be outstanding and internationally (well) recognised in their expertise and the relevant topic
- Be able to evaluate (as much as possible) all the aspects covered by the proposal
- Be free of conflict of interest (see 'Conflict of interest')

BELSPO will not consider experts who fail to comply with all criteria.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Experts are considered to have a conflict of interest if they stand to profit professionally, financially or personally from approval or rejection of an application.

More specifically, this means eligible experts must:

- Have no direct link with the project.
- Not be involved in the preparation of the EoI and/or the full proposal.
- Not benefit from the acceptance or rejection of the proposal.
- Not be a family member or partner relative to the first degree of any of the applicants.
- Not belong to applicants' institutions.
- Not be a director, a trustee or a partner of the applicants' institutions.
- Not be in any other situation which compromises or casts a doubt on his or her ability to evaluate the proposal impartially, or that could reasonably appear to do so in the eyes of an external third party.

Any other potential conflict of interest will be disclosed by the evaluators.